

The 25th March, 1983

No. ID/RKT/52-81/14348.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Raghbir Singh and the management of M/s Haryana Roadways Rohtak, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Rohtak, constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947,—*vide* Government notification No. 3864-ASO(E)-Lab-70/13648, dated 8th May, 1970, read with Government notification No. 9641-I-Lab-70/32573, dated 6th November, 1970, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Raghbir Singh was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

ASHOK PAHWA,

Commissioner and Secretary to Government, Haryana,  
Labour and Employment Departments.

The 21st March, 1983

No. ID/FD/81/83/13347.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workman Shri Prem Parkash and the management of M/s Faridabad Central Coop. Consumer's Store Ltd., 2A-9A, N. I. T. Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad constituted under section 7A of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication.

Whether the termination of service of Shri Prem Parkash was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/71/83/13354.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workman Shri Sesh Nath Tiwari and the management of M/s Industrial Gauges Plot No. 47, Sector 24, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matter specified below, being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Sesh Nath Tiwari was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/Ymn/12/83/13362.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exist between the workman Shri Surinder Singh and the management of M/s Anand Metal Work Chhachrauli Road Jagadhri, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Haryana, Faridabad constituted,—*vide* Government notification No. 11495, G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958, read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated the 26th June, 1968 of section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication.

Whether the termination of service of Shri Surinder Singh was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?